I agree as well

On Jul 23, 2017, at 5:32 PM, John Godwin <godwin@ncsu.edu> wrote:

Hi All,

Apologies for missing last week's meeting.

On the first item, I think the principles are articulated well.

On the second item, I would favor the focus being on island biodiversity as articulated in the paper and noting the potential future benefits in other areas.

I also wanted to note that I spoke Friday with a writer for Science, Kelly Servick, who may be in contact as I described the other participating institutions and investigators to her. She wasn't sure if this would be a story coming up soon or instead something they would follow and cover later.

- John

On Sat, Jul 22, 2017 at 8:48 PM, Royden Saah <royden.saah@islandconservation.org> wrote:

Hi All, - Suggestion - schedule 15 minutes on your calendar to review the below info and share your decisions to the group.
Per our last meeting, we need two decisions made by the steering committee. **First** is agreeing to the Principles as communicated in Karl’s paper. He combined what he found in the strat plan draft and the website. Please email agreement or share your thoughts/suggestions with the group. Thanks:

*The program’s guiding principles help provide context for decision making:*

1. Proceed cautiously, with deliberate step-wise methods and measurable outcomes;
2. Engage early and often with the research community, regulators, communities and other stakeholders;
3. Maintain an uncompromising commitment to biosafety, existing regulations, and protocols as minimum standards (e.g. NASEM, 2016; AAS, 2017);
4. Use, and participate in developing best practices;
5. Operate in countries with appropriate regulatory capacity; and
6. Be transparent with research, assessments, findings, and conclusions.

**SECOND issue** is the framing of this technology to benefit human health and agriculture, in addition to preventing extinctions. Fred shared his thoughts at the last committee meeting. I reviewed the website which appears to promote these benefits, if not directly, then thru suggestion, starting with the opening statement, “Like you, we want to save lives, support livelihoods, and preserve our world for generations to come. Every year billions of dollars are lost to damaged infrastructure, crop losses and the associated hunger, and disease and illnesses caused by invasive rodents.” There is also mention of GBIRD’s humanitarian mission. The implication is that this technology is for the purposes framed in the opening statements.

Karl’s paper, in contrast, focuses mainly on preserving island biodiversity, but touches on potential distant future applications lightly in the discussion section, rather than strong or initial statements. “In addition to impacting biodiversity on islands, invasive rodents also negatively impact the health of people and their livestock, and greatly reduce agricultural productivity, stored food stocks and damage infrastructure. In the future, these problems may also benefit from the development of gene drive systems in invasive rodents. However, our program is currently focused on the development and evaluation of gene drives in invasive rodents on islands to prevent biodiversity loss. This complements our step-wise approach and reflects National Academies’ recommendations (NASEM, 2016; AAS, 2017).”

The suggestion is to align communication to the scientific community and to the general public. If not completely aligned, then clarifying the program’s intention regarding health vs. ag. Vs. island ecology clarified to the public regarding the program’s. In conversation with Fred, his main issue is that we will need to defending the use of our technology for continental use in Ag and heath arenas.

Please email your decision to align website with our manuscript or to keep status quo.

Thanks in Advance,
Royden

---

From: Royden Saah
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 4:06 PM
Here they go – please review and comment.
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